Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/651,057	DASGUPTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724
All Participants:	Status of Application: allo	wed
(1) Frank M. Lawrence.	(3)	
(2) <u>David Brezner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 December 2004	Time: <u>3 pm e.t.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6, 21-24, 26, 30		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment in order to put the application in condition for allowance was discussed and agreed upon, including shortening the abstract to fewer than 150 words, replacing a trademark term in claim 6, and correcting the dependencies of claims 21-24, 26 and 30..